## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DEBORA NOVAKOWSKI,

CIVIL DIVISION

Plaintiff,

NO. 04-356 ERIE

v.

Judge McLaughlin **Electronically Filed** 

ELAINE CHAO, SECRETARY, and the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLETE DISCOVERY

- 1. The within case involves Plaintiff's claim of sexual discrimination as a result of being denied a promotion in violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-16(a). Discovery is scheduled to close on October 29, 2005. (Exhibit A).
- 2. The parties exchanged Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents on August 3, 2005. (Exhibit B).
- 3. Mutual responses to the parties discovery requests were due on September 3, 2005.
- 4. On or about September 29, 2005, Plaintiff's counsel inquired as to when Defendants' responses could be expected. No reply was forthcoming (Exhibit C).
- 5. On or about October 10, 2005, counsel for the parties discussed Defendants' failure to respond to Plaintiff's discovery requests and the possibility of completing discovery by October 29, 2005.
- 6. Defendants' counsel indicated that Defendants were having difficulty gathering information to respond to Plaintiff's discovery requests and promised to provide partial answers

as soon as possible.

7. Plaintiff's counsel responded to Defendants' counsels' requests for dates of

deposition if Plaintiff received Defendants' responses before October 29, 2005. Scheduling

conflicts and Plaintiff's assignment to go on special duty in the areas damaged by Hurricane

Katrina precluded the possibility of the depositions being completed by October 29, 2005,

(Exhibit D), regardless that Defendants produced responses to Plaintiff's discovery requests.

8. Defendants have never produced even partial responses to Plaintiff's discovery

requests and no information has been forthcoming as to when responses can be expected.

9. On or about October 19, 2005, Plaintiff's counsel communicated with

Defendants' counsel reminding him of Defendants' failure to respond to Plaintiff's discovery

requests and of the necessity of an extension of time to complete discovery. (Exhibit E).

10. Defendants' counsel has not replied to the October 19, 2005 communication.

11. Plaintiff cannot take depositions without responses to her discovery requests.

WHEREFORE, for all the above reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this

Honorable Court extend the date for completion of discovery until January 31, 2006 and adjust

all other dates in the Case Management Order accordingly.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 10/25/05

/s/ John R. Linkosky

John R. Linkosky, Esquire

Attorney for Plaintiff

Pa. I.D. No. 66011

JOHN LINKOSKY & ASSOC.

715 Washington Avenue

Carnegie, PA 15106

412 278-1280

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DEBORA NOVAKOWSKI, CIVIL DIVISION

Plaintiff, NO. 04-356 ERIE

v. Judge McLaughlin Electronically Filed

ELAINE CHAO, SECRETARY, and the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,

Defendants.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that an original and one copy of the foregoing Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time to Complete Discovery was delivered by First Class, United States mail, postage prepaid, on the 25<sup>th</sup> day of October 2005, to the following:

Paul E. Skirtich, Esquire Assistant U.S. Attorney United States Department of Justice U.S. Post Office & Courthouse 700 Grant Street, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15219

/s/ John R. Linkosky
John R. Linkosky, Esquire
Attorney for Plaintiff